## **Problem Set #1**

(due 10/22/19)

1. Consider an economy in which relative producer prices are fixed and a representative household, with a unit endowment of labor, maximizes the following utility function:

$$U(c_1, c_2, l) = (c_1 - a_1)^{\beta_1} (c_2 - a_2)^{\beta_2} l^{1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2}$$

(where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are consumption goods and l is leisure), subject to the budget constraint:

$$p_1 c_1 + p_2 c_2 + wl = w$$

- A. Derive an explicit solution (i.e., in terms of prices and preference terms  $a_i$  and  $\beta_i$ ) for the excess burden of taxes on  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and l as a function of the original, undistorted prices of the three goods  $(p_1^0, p_2^0, \text{ and } w^0)$ , the distorted prices  $(p_1^1, p_2^1, \text{ and } w^1)$ , and a fixed utility level.
- B. Show that excess burden equals zero if  $p_i^1 = (1 + \theta)p_i^0$ , i = 1, 2, and  $w^1 = (1 + \theta)w^0$  for some constant  $\theta$ .
- C. Compare the values of excess burden based on utility levels achieved in the absence and in the presence of taxation,  $V(p_1^0, p_2^0, w^0)$  and  $V(p_1^1, p_2^1, w^1)$ .
- D. Using the measure derived in part A, show that the marginal excess burden for an increase in a tax or subsidy on good 2 is positive. (*Hint*: relate the change in excess burden to the sign of  $(p_2^1 p_2^0)$ .)
- 2. Suppose that an economy has two goods, education and housing, and that every family has preferences over the two goods defined by the common utility function,  $U(E, H) = E^{\alpha}H^{1-\alpha}$ . Households differ only with respect to income level, with household *i*'s exogenous income equal to  $y^i$ . Housing is produced subject to constant unit cost  $p_H = 1$ , and may be purchased in any quantity. Education may be produced using one of two technologies: by the private sector as a regular private good with unit cost  $p_E = 1$  per family, and by the public sector as a pure public good with unit cost q per unit of the common level of public education. Publicly provided education is financed by a proportional tax at rate  $\tau$  on income, and no individual household may use public and private education at the same time.
  - A. For fixed values of the tax rate,  $\tau$ , and the level of public education, G, show that there exists a critical level of income,  $\hat{y}$ , above which households choose private school, and below which households choose public school. Show that  $\hat{y}$  is increasing in G, given  $\tau$ .
  - B. Start with your solution for  $\hat{y}$  as a function of G and  $\tau$  from part A. Letting Y equal aggregate income in the economy, substitute for  $\tau$  using the government's budget constraint that relates  $\tau$  to q, G, and Y. Calculate the full effect of G on  $\hat{y}$ , i.e., the effect taking into account the impact of G on  $\tau$ . Show that this effect is larger than the partial effect you solved for in part A, and explain why.

- C. Show that, among individuals who choose public education, there is a single level of public education, say  $G^*$ , that is most preferred by all, given preferences and the use of proportional taxation to pay for public education. If the existing level of public education is initially at  $G^*$ , under what condition would a majority of the overall population vote for a small decrease in spending on public education spending? (*Hint*: relate  $\hat{y}$  at  $G^*$  to the income of the median voter.)
- 3. Consider an economy with two commodities, X and Y, each produced competitively using a single factor, labor, which is in fixed overall supply,  $L = L_x + L_y$ . Producers of X have the production function  $X = (\alpha e^{-\beta Y})L_x$  and producers of Y have the production function  $Y = \gamma L_y$ . That is, each producer perceives constant returns to scale with respect to labor, but labor productivity in sector X faces a negative externality based on the aggregate production of Y.
  - A. Derive the economy's production possibilities frontier as an expression for *X* in terms of *Y*, and show that the production set is not convex, i.e., that there are linear combinations of feasible production bundles that are not themselves feasible.
  - B. Letting labor be numeraire, derive the cost functions for producers of each good, c(X;Y) and c(Y), assuming that producers of X take Y as given and that producers of Y ignore their impact on sector X. Solve for the competitive prices at given values of X and Y.
  - C. Now, solve for the social cost function for X and Y, c(X,Y), and the marginal social costs of X and Y at given production levels. Derive expressions for Pigouvian taxes on producers of X and Y that would cause competitive prices to equal marginal social costs.
  - D. Suppose that consumers are identical, with preferences that satisfy the utility function U(X,Y) = X+Y. How much revenue does the Pigouvian tax raise at the social optimum?
- 4. In the Harberger two-sector model, labor bears 100% of an excise tax on sector-*X* output if the ratio of capital income to gross income (including the excise tax) is unchanged.
  - A. For the same assumptions as in the standard Harberger model (e.g., fixed overall supplies of labor and capital, no initial distortions), show that this outcome requires that sector *X* be more labor intensive than sector *Y*.
  - B. Using expressions from Lecture Note 6, derive a condition that depends only on factor shares  $(\theta)$ , factor allocations  $(\lambda)$  and elasticities of substitution  $(\sigma)$  for labor to bear at least 100% of an excise tax in sector X.
  - C. Assume that sector X is more labor intensive than sector Y, so that (from the result in part A) it is possible for labor to bear 100% of an excise tax on sector X. Using the expression you derived in part B, show that, in the limit as goods X and Y become perfect substitutes in consumption (i.e., as  $\sigma_D \to \infty$ ), labor must bear at least 100% of the tax.